IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ARLIN M. ADAMS, Chapter 11 Trustee of the Post-Confirmation Bankruptcy Estates of CORAM HEALTHCARE CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation, and of CORAM INC., a Delaware Corporation,

Case 1:04-cv-01565-SLR

Case No. 04-1565 (SLR)

Plaintiff,

v.

DANIEL D. CROWLEY, DONALD J. AMARAL, WILLIAM J. CASEY, L. PETER SMITH, AND SANDRA L. SMOLEY,

Defendants.

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION SUBPOENA TO DONALD AMARAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant Daniel Crowley ("Crowley"), by his attorneys, will take the deposition of **Donald Amaral** at the offices of McDonald Carano Wilson, LLP, 100 West Liberty Street, 10th Floor, Reno, NV 89501, (775) 788-2000, commencing at 10:00 a.m. on July 27, 2007, and continuing from day to day, excluding Saturdays and Sundays, until concluded.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, pursuant to Rule 30(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the deposition will be taken before a notary public or other officer authorized by the law to administer oaths, and may be recorded both stenographically and by

sound-and-visual means (i.e., by videotape), and provision may be made for real-time monitoring using LiveNotetm or similar means. Crowley reserves the right to use this videotape deposition at the time of trial.

Dated: July 11, 2007

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

Jeffrey C. Wisler (No. 2795)
Christina M. Thompson (No. 3976)
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
The Nemours Building
1007 North Orange Street
P.O. Box 2207
Wilmington, Delaware 19899
(302) 658-9141

-and-

John W. Keker Elliot R. Peters R. James Slaughter Keker & Van Nest, LLP 710 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 391-5400 Email: epeters@kvn.com

Attorneys for Defendant DANIEL D. CROWLEY

AO88 (Rev. 12/06) Subpoena in a Civil Case

Issued by the

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	DISTRICT OF Nevada
ARLIN M. ADAMS, Chapter 11 Trustee	of
the Post-Confirmation Bankruptcy	

the Po Estates of CORAM HEALTHCARE CORPORATION, a Delaware Corp., et al. V.

DANIEL D. CROWLEY, DONALD J. AMARAL, WILLIAM J. CASEY, L. PETER SMITH, AND SANDRA L. SMOLEY

SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE

Case Number: 1 04-1565 (SLR) Pending in USDC District of Delaware

TO: Donald Amaral,	
c/o Peri Nielsen, Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosa Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304, Telephone: (650) 493	
YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States District court at the	
testify in the above case.	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
PLACE OF TESTIMONY	COURTROOM
	DATE AND TIME
. ·	STATE THE
X YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, date, and time specified belo	w to testify at the taking of a deposition in
the above case.	
PLACE OF DEPOSITION	DATE AND TIME
Law Offices of McDonald Carano Wilson LLP,100 West	July 27, 2007
Liberty Street, 10th Floor, Reno, NV 89501, 775-788-2020	10:00 a.m.
PLACE .	DATE AND TIME
·	
YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the following premises at t	he date and time specified below.
PREMISES	DATE AND TIME
Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoenaed for the taking of a depo	sition shall designate one or more officers
directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf, an	
the matters on which the person will testify. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 30(b)(6).	•
ISSUING OFFICER'S SIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATE IF ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF OR DEFENDANT)	DATE
(N-a 63)	
Warren A.Braunig, Attorney for Defendant Daniel D. Crowley	July 10, 2007
ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER WARREN A. BRAUNIG, KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP, 710 SANSOME	STREET, SAN FRANCISCO
CA 94111, TELEPHONE: 415-391-5400	2, 212, 112, 313, 315, 315, 315, 315, 315, 315, 315

⁽See Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), on next page)

¹ If action is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number.

	PROOF OF SERVICE	=	
DATE	PLACE		
		•	
SERVED		•	•
ERVED ON (PRINT NAME)	MANNER OF	MANNER OF SERVICE	
		,	
			•
	·		
ERVED BY (PRINT NAME)	TITLE		
to.			•
	DECLARATION OF SERV	/ER	
I declare under penalty of perjury under the law	vs of the United States of Am	erica that the foregoing	information contained in the
		•	
roof of Service is true and correct.			
roof of Service is true and correct.			
roof of Service is true and correct.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	SIGNATURE O	F SERVER	
xecuted on	SIGNATURE O	F SERVER	
xecuted on	SIGNATURE O	F SERVER	
xecuted on	SIGNATURE O		

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), as amended on December 1, 2006: (c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS.

- (1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction, which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fee.
- (2) (A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of designated electronically stored information, books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.
- (B) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling may, within 14 days after service of the subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to producing any or all of the designated materials or inspection of the premises or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect, copy, test, or sample the materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production, inspection, copying, testing, or sampling. Such an order to compel shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection, copying, testing, or sampling commanded.
- (3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena if it
 - (i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance;
- (ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (c/3)(B)(iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to attend trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held:
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
 - (B) If a subpoena
- (i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or
- (ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or

- (iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena or, if the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.
- (d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA.
- (1) (A) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.
- (B) If a subpoena does not specify the form or forms for producing electronically stored information, a person responding to a subpoena must produce the information in a form or forms in which the person ordinarily maintains it or in a form or forms that are reasonably usable.
- (C) A person responding to a subpoena need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- (D) A person responding to a subpoena need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or to quash, the person from whom discovery is sought must show that the information sought is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.
- (2) (A) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.
- (B) If information is produced in response to a subpoena that is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has and may not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. If the receiving party disclosed the information before being notified, it must take reasonable steps to retrieve it. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.
- e) CONTEMPT. Failure of any person without adequate excuse to obey a subpoena served upon that person may be deemed a contempt of the court from which the subpoena issued. An adequate cause for failure to obey exists when a subpoena purports to require a nonparty to attend or produce at a place not within the limits provided by clause (ii) of subparagraph (c)(3)(A).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that on this 11th day of July, 2007, the **Notice of**

Deposition Subpoena to Donald Amaral was served as indicated upon the following counsel.

E-FILE AND HAND DELIVERY

Richard A. Barkasy, Esq. Michael J. Barrie, Esq. Schnader Harrison Segal & Lewis LLP 824 N. Market Street, Suite 1001 Wilmington, DE 19801

TELEFAX AND FIRST CLASS U.S. MAIL

Barry E. Bresler, Esq. Wilbur L. Kipnes, Esq. Schnader Harrison Segal & Lewis LLP 1600 Market Street, Suite 3600 Philadelphia, PA 19103-7286

Christina M. Thompson (#3976)

Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP

The Nemours Building 1007 N. Orange Street

P.O. Box 2207

Wilmington, Delaware 19899 Telephone: (302) 658-9141

E-mail: <u>cthompson@cblh.com</u>

#551877